UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America		I	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL		
	V. Sherman George Wimber Defendant	l <u>y</u>	Case No. 1:11 C	Cr 331	
	fter conducting a detention hearir efendant be detained pending tria		Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142	(f), I conclude that these facts require	
		 Part I – Findir	ngs of Fact		
(1)		n offense described in 18	8 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) a	nd has previously been convicted of eral offense if federal jurisdiction had	
	a crime of violence as defi which the prison term is 10		(a)(4), or an offense lis	ted in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for	
	an offense for which the m	aximum sentence is dea	ath or life imprisonment		
	an offense for which a max	kimum prison term of ten	years or more is preso	cribed in:	
	a felony committed after th U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C)			e prior federal offenses described in 18	
	any felony that is not a crir				
		n or use of a firearm or d gister under 18 U.S.C. § :		y other dangerous weapon	
(2)	The offense described in finding or local offense.	(1) was committed while	the defendant was on	release pending trial for a federal, state	
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has offense described in finding (1).	elapsed since the	date of conviction	_ defendant's release from prison for the	
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish person or the community. I furth			I reasonably assure the safety of another sumption.	
		Alternative Fi	indings (A)		
<u>√</u> (1)	There is probable cause to believe	ve that the defendant ha	s committed an offense	9	
	for which a maximum priso	on term of ten years or m	nore is prescribed in:	*	
	✓ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).			·	
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted will reasonably assure the defen			no condition or combination of conditions unity.	
(1)	There is a serious risk that the de	Alternative Fi			
, ,	There is a serious risk that the de	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		rson or the community.	
(rt II – Statement of the	•	•	
evidence	✓ a preponderance of the evidence A preponderance A p	dence that:	-	shes by clear and convincing	
since 20 substant of dange Defenda	06 and lives on food stamps and ce abuse. Defendant has one proper arises from the high-speed chaint led officers on a 25-mile chases wanton disregard for human life.	his fiance's income. He evious felony conviction see that defendant engage on the expressway between and safety poses a dar	e has a history of ment (1998) for armed carja ged in immediately afte ween Battle Creek and nger to the community t	cking. The most persuasive evidence	
_	1 . 1.6 . 1. 22	Part III – Directions Ro	-		
correction appeal. T States Co	s facility separate, to the extent p The defendant must be afforded a	oracticable, from persons reasonable opportunity or the Government, the p	awaiting or serving se to consult privately with	d representative for confinement in a ntences or held in custody pending a defense counsel. On order of United corrections facility must deliver the	
Date:	February 15, 2012	Judge's Signatuı	re: /s/ Joseph G. Scov	rille	
		Name and Tit	le: Joseph G. Scoville	, U.S. Magistrate Judge	